

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/749,303	CHAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123

All Participants:

(1) Thomas H. Stevens

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Michael Keenan

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claim 20

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amending the remaining independent claims to reflect claim 20's line 4 limitation of "sending an identification of the installed turbine to a manufacture". The Office and applicants agreed to applicants faxing the amendments to the claims to the Office by 7/6/06 in order to expedite prosecution.